	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/773,356	MIYAZAKI ET AL.
	Examin r	Art Unit
	Justin R Fischer	1733
Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Justin R Fischer</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>James Hellwege</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>13 November 2003</u>	Time: <u>12:00</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: NA		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: NA		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	,	
•		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner attempted to contact the applicant to expedite the prosection of this application. In particular, the examiner intended to suggest applicant amend the claim to define the peripherally adjacent metallic filaments as opposed to laterally adjacent filaments (support for this is found in the drawings); however, the examiner was unable to reach the applicant.